

Reference Number: AQ_02

Malpractice and Maladministration Policy

Revision History

Version	Last reviewed	Next review date	Policy Owner	Notes
AQ02-a10	12 June 2018	30 June 2020	HQC	Reviewed by OB
AQ02-a11	11 February 2021	30 June 2022	HQC	Modified by OB

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	3
1.1.	Policy Statement.....	3
1.2.	Scope and Definitions.....	3
1.2.1.	Malpractice	3
1.2.2.	Maladministration.....	3
1.2.3.	Candidate Malpractice (Academic Misconduct).....	3
1.2.4.	Special Considerations	4
1.2.5.	Persons involved in malpractice and maladministration	4
1.2.6.	Seriousness of Malpractice	4
2.	Duties and obligations	4
2.1.	Duties and Obligations of Centres	4
2.2.	Duties and Obligations of NCC Education	5
3.	How to report malpractice.....	5
4.	Procedure for dealing with allegations of malpractice	6
4.1.	Communications.....	6
5.	The Allegation	6
5.1.	Suspected malpractice identified by NCC Education Examiners and Moderators	6
5.2.	Suspected malpractice identified by a Centre	6
5.3.	Malpractice reported by others	6
6.	NCC Education's Response	7
7.	Investigating Malpractice and Maladministration	7
8.	The Report.....	8
9.	The Decision	8
10.	Appeals.....	9
11.	Centre Policies on Malpractice and Maladministration.....	9
11.1.	Annual Monitoring	9
11.2.	Advice and Guidance	9

1. Introduction

1.1. Policy Statement

NCC Education is committed to ensuring access to fair assessment for all candidates, to protecting the integrity of qualifications which we award, and to full compliance with the expectations of our regulators, Ofqual. To that end, this policy is designed to define malpractice and maladministration, clarify the roles and responsibilities of Centres, candidates and NCC Education and outline the procedures that will be followed when there are issues of suspected malpractice within a Centre.

1.2. Scope and Definitions

1.2.1. Malpractice

Malpractice is any deliberate activity, neglect, default or other practice that compromises the integrity of the assessment process and/or the validity of certificates.

Malpractice can include criminal offences such as bribery or falsifying of assessment records (fraud). Other examples would include failing to maintain the security of an assessment (e.g. by giving away exam questions or marking schemes), assisting candidates in the production of projects and assignments so that the submission is not the candidate's own work, and redacting or changing examination questions or assignment tasks, thus compromising the integrity of the assessment.

1.2.2. Maladministration

Maladministration is any activity, neglect, default or other practice that results in the Centre or candidate not complying with the specified requirements for delivery of the qualifications.

Examples of maladministration include the contravention of examination regulations, failure to correctly follow other assessment-related processes (including the timely submission of correct samples and MSFs), and failure to abide by the deadlines published in NCC Education's Activity Schedules.

1.2.3. Candidate Malpractice (Academic Misconduct)

'Candidate Malpractice', or Academic Misconduct, is defined in Section 11 of NCC Education's Academic Regulations as follows:

"All work submitted for an assessment must be the candidate's own work. It is an offence for any candidate to be guilty of, or party to, collusion, plagiarism, or any other act which may mislead the examiners and moderators about the development and authorship of work presented in assessments. This includes misleading examiners and moderators about the sources of information included in an assessment."

Procedures associated with the identification and investigation of Academic Misconduct, and the penalties which can be applied by NCC Education, are addressed separately in NCC Education's Academic Misconduct Policy, available on NCC Education's website. Academic misconduct therefore only falls within the scope of this policy where it has been supported, encouraged or facilitated by a person or persons in the employ of NCC Education or one of its Centres.

1.2.4. Special Considerations

Malpractice and maladministration imply an element of negligence, corruption or incompetence. Events which affect the candidate adversely but which are not caused by negligence, corruption or incompetence – for example, an examination being disrupted by an emergency situation – are not considered to be malpractice or maladministration, and are covered by NCC Education’s Special Considerations Policy. The only exception to this is when events which affect the candidate adversely are not directly caused by negligence, corruption or incompetence, but could have been avoided had a person or persons not acted in a negligent, corrupt or incompetent manner.

1.2.5. Persons involved in malpractice and maladministration

Cases of malpractice and maladministration will, by their nature, normally involve a person or persons in the employ of either NCC Education or a Centre (including contractors and consultants). However, anyone may be involved in an instance of malpractice or maladministration, including candidates and third parties (for example, friends and family of candidates).

1.2.6. Seriousness of Malpractice

There are a range of possible types of malpractice and maladministration, as well as a range of seriousness in their occurrences. An occurrence of malpractice or maladministration **must** be reported to NCC Education if it meets any of the following criteria:

- a candidate is disadvantaged, however slightly, in an assessed component;
- a candidate is discriminated against in whatever way and for whatever reason;
- the process of assessment is, or may have been, compromised;
- the validity of a result or certificate is, or may have been, compromised;
- the integrity of a qualification is, or may have been, compromised;
- the reputation of NCC Education as an awarding body is, or may have been, damaged;
- the reputation of a Centre is, or may have been, damaged;
- the reputation of an employee working in whatever capacity at a Centre is, or may have been, damaged.

2. Duties and obligations

2.1. Duties and Obligations of Centres

- It is the duty of Centres and their members of staff to report all suspected instances of malpractice and/or maladministration to NCC Education.
- It is the duty of Centres and their members of staff to supply any further information required by NCC Education in their investigations.
- Failure of a Centre to co-operate with a malpractice investigation may lead to penalties being imposed, as outlined in NCC Education’s Centre Sanctions Policy.
- Centre staff are reminded that failure to disclose malpractice or maladministration is in itself a form of malpractice.
- Candidates enrolled on NCC Education qualifications are strongly encouraged to report all suspected instances of malpractice and/or maladministration to NCC Education in confidence.

2.2. Duties and Obligations of NCC Education

In all instances where malpractice and maladministration have been alleged, NCC Education will:

- oversee all investigations into suspected or alleged malpractice
- withhold results until the conclusion of the investigation, or permanently, where the outcome of the investigation warrants it
- provide advice and guidance to Centres on how to avoid and investigate malpractice and maladministration, on request
- take such action as is necessary to preserve the integrity of assessment, for example the cancelling of assessment cycles and the arrangement of replacement exams or such other action as may be needed
- report the instances of malpractice to the regulators and other awarding bodies in accordance with the regulators' Conditions of Recognition.

Where there is evidence that certificates may have been invalidated as a result of malpractice, NCC Education will report the matter to the regulatory authorities and co-operate fully with them in any follow-up actions required.

If there is deemed to be reasonable probability that an instance of malpractice involved the committing of a criminal act, NCC Education will report the matter to the police.

3. How to report malpractice

Occurrences of malpractice and maladministration may be reported in the following ways:

By email: quality@nccedu.com

By post: For the attention of the Head of Quality and Compliance
NCC Education
The Towers
Towers Business Park
Wilmslow Road
Didsbury
Manchester M20 2EZ
UK

By phone: +44 (0)161 438 6200

By fax: +44 (0)161 438 6240

Opening hours: 8:30am-5:00pm (GMT/BST) Mon-Fri

Members of staff employed by NCC Education may use one of the methods above, or alternatively should speak to the Head of Quality and Compliance in confidence.

All reports of malpractice and maladministration will be treated in the strictest confidence. Reports made anonymously will be treated with the same seriousness as all others.

4. Procedure for dealing with allegations of malpractice

The procedure for dealing with allegations of malpractice and maladministration involves the following phases.

- The Allegation
- NCC Education's Response
- The Investigation
- The Report
- The Decision
- The Appeal

4.1. Communications

NCC Education will normally communicate with the Head of Centre on the subject of allegations of malpractice and maladministration, except where the Head of Centre or senior management team is under investigation. In such cases, NCC Education will communicate with another appropriate person, such as the Chair of the Centre's governing body, or the Centre owner.

NCC Education reserves the right to communicate directly with employees of Centres who have been accused of malpractice or who may have evidence relevant to an investigation where circumstances warrant this (for example, if an individual is no longer employed by a Centre). NCC Education will only communicate directly with a candidate (or candidate's representative) when the circumstances of the case demand it (for example, when evidence from candidates and Centres is contradictory), or when the candidate is no longer associated with the Centre (for example, if they have completed their qualification). In such instances, NCC Education will advise the Head of Centre of our intention to contact a candidate directly, and it is expected that the Head of Centre will co-operate in the provision of the candidate's address.

5. The Allegation

5.1. Suspected malpractice identified by NCC Education Examiners and Moderators

NCC Education Examiners and Moderators who suspect malpractice in an examination or assessment must notify the Operations Manager immediately. A full account of the incident should be submitted together with supporting evidence.

5.2. Suspected malpractice identified by a Centre

Where suspected malpractice is identified by a Centre, the Head of Centre must submit full details of the case to NCC Education at the earliest opportunity (see Section 3).

5.3. Malpractice reported by others

Allegations of malpractice may be reported to NCC Education by employers, Centre staff, regulators, candidates, other Awarding Bodies, the media, or members of the public. Sometimes these reports are anonymous. Where so requested, Awarding Bodies will not disclose the identity of individuals reporting cases of suspected malpractice, unless legally obliged to do so. When NCC

Education receives an allegation from someone other than the Head of a Centre (including anonymous reports), the awarding body will evaluate the allegation in the light of any other available information, to see if there is cause to investigate.

6. NCC Education's Response

An NCC Education member of staff will be appointed by the Head of Quality and Compliance to be responsible for the investigation of each allegation of malpractice and maladministration. Persons carrying out enquiries will be of appropriate competence, and will have no personal interest in the outcome of the investigation.

In the case of notifications of suspected malpractice received from examiners, moderators, candidates, Centre staff, third parties or members of the public, the Head of Quality and Compliance or appointed investigator will consider the information provided and decide on one of the following approaches:

- a) take no further action
- b) ask the Head of Centre to conduct a full investigation into the alleged malpractice and to submit a written report
- c) in the case of alleged fraud or a serious breach of security, investigate the matter directly.

On receipt of a notification of suspected malpractice submitted by a Head of Centre, the Head of Quality and Compliance or appointed investigator will consider the information provided and decide on one of the following approaches:

- a) take no further action
- b) make a decision on the case in accordance with the procedures
- c) ask the Head of Centre to carry out a further investigation and/or provide further evidence
- d) investigate the matter further directly.

7. Investigating Malpractice and Maladministration

Investigations will normally be carried out by the Head of Centre acting at the request of NCC Education. The investigation should seek to establish the full facts and circumstances of this alleged malpractice, and upon completion a written report should be submitted to NCC Education's Head of Quality and Compliance by email indicating the findings and any actions which have been taken. It may well be necessary to conduct an interview with a candidate or a member of staff as part of this investigation, and any such interviews must be conducted in line with your institution's own policy for conducting disciplinary enquiries. Any material evidence gathered during this investigation (including transcripts or recordings of interviews) should be securely retained by the Head of Centre, and copies should be forwarded to NCC Education with the completed report.

Investigations into allegations of malpractice and maladministration against the Head of Centre will have the same requirements and expectations, but will be conducted by another appropriate person, such as the Chair of the Centre's governing body, or the Centre owner, or a member of NCC Education staff.

For allegations of malpractice which involve fraud or a serious breach of examination security, it will normally be expected that an investigation into the allegation will be carried out by NCC Education staff.

The Head of Centre or other person conducting the investigation must:

- supervise personally all investigations resulting from an allegation of malpractice
- ensure that, if it is necessary to delegate part of an investigation to a member of staff, the member of staff chosen is independent, and not connected to anyone involved in the suspected malpractice
- respond promptly and openly to all requests for an investigation into an allegation of malpractice
- co-operate and ensure their staff co-operate fully with an enquiry into an allegation of malpractice.

8. The Report

After investigating an allegation of malpractice, the Head of Centre must submit a full written report of the case to the Head of Quality and Compliance at NCC Education. Reports should be produced in the following format:

- a) Statement of process: what steps have been taken to investigate this alleged malpractice
- b) Statement of facts: an account of the circumstances of the alleged malpractice which have been established as fact
- c) written statement(s) from the invigilator(s), tutor(s), examiner(s) or any other staff who are involved
- d) written statement(s) from the candidate(s)
- e) in the case of malpractice in examinations, seating plans showing the exact position of candidates in the examination room, and details of any unauthorised material found in the examination room
- f) any mitigating factors or additional information

Heads of Centres should be aware that reports may be shared with regulators, local authorities and other awarding bodies; however, in such instances the identities of individuals would be redacted as much as possible and the Head of Centre would be informed.

9. The Decision

The decision on cases of malpractice and maladministration will be taken by the Head of Quality and Compliance. Before the decision is made, any person or persons identified in the Investigation as having committed malpractice or maladministration will be informed of this and invited to submit a written statement to the Head of Quality and Compliance.

In making a decision on any report, the Head of Quality and Compliance will establish that correct procedures have been followed in the investigation of the case, and that all individuals involved have been given the opportunity to make a written statement. If satisfied, the Head of Quality and Compliance will then seek to determine whether the Academic Regulations (or other assessment regulations) have been breached, and if so, where the culpability lies for the breach of regulations. Having done this, the Head of Quality and Compliance will then determine appropriate measures to

be taken to protect the integrity of the examination or assessment and to prevent future breaches, and finally the nature of any sanction or penalty to be applied.

Each case of suspected malpractice will be considered and judged on an individual basis in the light of all information available. Where there is an established, clearly evidenced, repeated pattern of behaviour this may be taken into consideration when determining whether a sanction should be applied. NCC Education reserves the right to apply sanctions and penalties flexibly, if particular mitigating or aggravating circumstances are found to exist.

Where malpractice and maladministration has been proven, the Head of Quality and Compliance will write to the Head of Centre outlining the action to be taken (the range of possible actions is outlined in NCC Education's Centre Sanctions Policy). The Head of Centre will have an opportunity to respond to the letter and, if required, to appeal against the decision.

10. Appeals

Appeals against decisions taken and sanctions applied following malpractice investigations should be addressed to the Director of Qualifications and Academic Delivery, who will review the case to ensure that this policy (and the procedures outlined within it) have been followed correctly, and that any sanctions (or other response from NCC Education) are consistent and proportionate. Following this review, Centres who remain dissatisfied have a further right to appeal in writing to the General Manager.

Candidates whose results have been changed and/or whose certificates have been invalidated as a result of a malpractice decision have the normal rights of appeal, as outlined in NCC Education's Post-Results Services Policy.

11. Centre Policies on Malpractice and Maladministration

11.1. Centre Monitoring

Centres are expected to produce and maintain their own internal policies for the identification of malpractice and maladministration, as well as written procedures for reporting and investigating incidents internally. It is expected that Centres will make such documents available as part of the Centre Monitoring process.

11.2. Advice and Guidance

Centres who wish to seek advice or guidance on the development of internal malpractice and maladministration policies and procedures should contact their regional Academic Development Manager. NCC Education is always pleased to assist Centres in the development of robust internal policies and procedures.

NCC Education has also produced a specimen Sample Centre Malpractice Policy which can be provided on request for those Centres who wish to adapt it to their own purposes.